# II. Remarks Concerning the Drawing Amendments

The applicant offers substitute drawings for Figs. 1 and 2 to include designations of --Prior Art--. Figs. 3 and 4 each depict a representative product guide 50. Product guide 50 is an aspect of the present invention, so marking of Figs. 3 and 4 as Prior Art has been deferred pending action on this response.

# III. Remarks Concerning the Claim Amendments

Applicant has amended claims 1-9 to particularly point out and distinctly claim subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention. The remaining claims, being dependent on the amended claims, are now also not indefinite.

Claims 11 and 12 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-9 have been amended, and claims 13-26 have been added, to distinguish the subject matter claimed as to the prior art cited by the Examiner.

# IV. Response to Examiner's Section 112 Rejections

The applicant has amended the claims to particularly point out and distinctly claim subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention. As such, the claims are no longer indefinite.

### V. Response to Examiner's Section 102 Rejections

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. MPEP § 2131. The applicant respectfully argues that *Flaaser* does not teach every element of the amended claims, either expressly or inherently.

8

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Hauser teaches a product guide (51) connected to the sidewalls of the chute (21) in a rigid manner, employing securing blocks (31), hook catches (75) and clamps (41). This configuration does not provide for product attachment means that limit lateral movement of the product guide in relation to the chute while allowing movement of the product guide in relation to the chute as now claimed in amended claim 1.

Haaser's teaches locking mechanisms joining the product guide (51) and the sidewalls of the chute (21) to prevent vibratory damage. Iluaser accordingly teaches away from the present invention. Iluaser teaches the specific requirement of "a hinged top section with zero lash in the hinge in the closed position of the top section." Col. 1, lines 41-44 (emphasis added). Any loose connection will quickly cause impact damage and effective destruction. See Col. 1, lines 27 and 28. To modify the Iluaser device to remove the means of producing "no lash," as is required by the current invention, would defeat the purpose of the teaching.

Further, prior art, for purposes of obviousness, does not include disclosures in non-analogous fields of art. *In re Woods*, 599 F.2d 1032, 1036. The test as to whether two references are from non-analogous arts is whether one seeking to solve a problem with respect to the embodiment of a reference in one art would be apt to seek the solution to said problem in the other art. *See In re Shapleigh*, 248 F.2d 96, 102. While a vibratory chute may indeed be inclined, a vibratory chute is used for different purposes than a gravity-fed channeled slide used to maintain alignment of a free-flowing product. A vibratory slide would not provide the smooth steady flow sought in a slide for an optical scanner. Such being the case, a person having ordinary skill in the field of gravity-feed slides would not be apt to seek a solution to organizing product in the field of vibration slides – the antithesis of their field. Therefore, for the problems addressed by the current invention, vibratory slides would be non-analogous art.

9

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

The applicant has reviewed the prior art and contends the prior art references do not suggest or motivate one to modify the references or combine the reference teachings, or teach or suggest all the limitations of the current invention.

#### VI. Conclusion

The applicant has offered substitute drawings and amended the claims responsive to the subject Office Action. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as amended should be allowable over the prior art. Applicant requests that the claims be allowed as amended.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth A. Keeling Registration No. 31,842

Keeling Hudson LLC 901 North Post Oak Road Houston, Texas 77024 (713) 680-1447 (713) 680-8567 Fax

enclosure: Amendments (Marked Up Version)

Substitute Drawings (1 sheet)

Substitute Drawings (Marked Up Version)

## AMENDMENTS (Marked Up Version)

What is claimed is:

1. (amended) A slide for a gravity slide sorter comprising:

an inclined chute having a chute upper end, a bottom and two sides;

a first support bracket and a second support bracket[s respectively], said first support

bracket and said second support bracket each mounted to [the two]a side[s] of the chute, each of
said first and second support brackets having an upper edge distal said chute bottom;

each of said first and second support brackets having at least one alignment notch located on its upper edge;

a product guide [movably mounted]supported above said support brackets[the chute and having one or more perimeter tabs located along its periphery to support the product guide within the one or more respective notches];

said product guide having a guide upper end and two guide sides;

said product guide having at least one guide tab extending from each said guide side;

at least one guide tab receivable in each said at least one alignment notch of said first

support bracket;

at least one guide tab receivable in each said at least one alignment notch of said second support bracket;

attachment means attaching said guide upper end to said chute upper end;

said attachment means limiting movement of said product guide laterally in relation to said chute bottom; and

said attachment means allowing relative movement of said product guide normal to said chute bottom[whereby the product guide moves upwardly from the bottom of the chute with

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

oversized products moving along the chute and being restored into position by the tabs moving downwardly into the respective notches].

- 2. (amended) The slide of claim 1 further including:

  each of said first and second support brackets having at least one adjustment mechanism;

  each said at least one adjustment mechanism operable to adjust the height of said bracket

  upper edges in relation to said chute bottom[for each of the brackets with respect to the

  respective sides of the chute].
- 3. (amended) The slide of claim 1 [further including]wherein said attachment means comprising:
  at least one clongated pin extending from said chute; and

at least one pin-receiving opening provided in said product guide[to allow the product guide to move upwardly from the bottom of the chute].

- 4. (amended) The slide of claim 2 [further including]wherein said attachment means comprising:
- at least one elongated pin extending from said chute; and

  at least one pin-receiving opening provided in said product guide[to allow the product guide to move upwardly from the bottom of the chute].

ii

5. (amended) The slide of claim 1 wherein:

the product guide is <u>constructed of a flexible material</u>; <u>and[dimensionally clongated along</u> at least a portion of the chute in the direction of product movement, ]

the product guide flexibly [moving]moveable upwardly from the bottom of the chute [with oversized ]responsive to forces imparted by a product[s moving along] intermediate the chute and the product guide.

6. (amended) The slide of claim 2 wherein;

the product guide is <u>constructed of a flexible material</u>; and dimensionally elongated along at least a portion of the chute in the direction of product movement,]

the product guide flexibly <u>moveable</u>[moving] upwardly from the bottom of the chute [with oversized ]<u>responsive to forces imparted by a product[s moving along] intermediate</u> the chute <u>and the product guide</u>.

7. (amended) The slide of claim 1 wherein the chute further includes:

[different height]a plurality of channel dividers extending upwardly from the channel bottom; and

at least one of said plurality of channel dividers a different height from at least one other said plurality of channel dividers[, at least some of which are of sufficient height to contact the product guide when the tabs are resting in the bottom of the respective notches].

8. (amended) The slide of claim I wherein:

iii

each said alignment[of the] notch[es has] defining a notch bottom and two notch edges;

the notch edge distal said chute upper end comprising a tapered edge such that the notch opening is wider at the bracket upper edge than at the notch bottom[downstream side to assist in the alignment therein of the respective tabs].

9. (amended) The slide of claim 2 wherein:

each said alignment[of the] notch[es has] defining a notch bottom and two notch edges;
and

the notch edge distal said chute upper end comprising a tapered edge such that the notch opening is wider at the bracket upper edge than at the notch bottom[downstream side to assist in the alignment therein of the respective tabs].